

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,392	06/22/2001	John R. Hampton	41394-00009USPT	7158
7590 06/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Margaret A. Boulware			POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
Jenkens & Gilo	Jenkens & Gilchrist A Professional Corporation 1100 Louisiana, Suite 1800			PAPER NUMBER
A Professional				
Houston, TX 77002-5214			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/887,392	HAMPTON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert J. Popovics	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, in the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (control of the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (control of the statutor).	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  on NOTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	7 May 2004.	
CoNST This action is <b>FINA</b> I 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.	
ay Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for forma	matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,13 and 15-21</u> is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,13 and 15-21</u> is/are rejected 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from considerations.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) object of the drawing(s) be held in our or rection is required if the contraction is required in the contraction is required in the contraction in the contraction in the contraction in the contraction is required in the contraction in the contraction in the contraction in the contraction is required in the contraction in the	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been receiv ments have been receiv priority documents hav ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National Stage )).
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SPaper No(s)/Mail Date	l8) P SB/08) 5) □ N	aterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:

Application/Control Number: 09/887,392

Art Unit: 1724

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Pending Claims

Currently, claims 1,3-11,13 and 15-21 are pending and rejected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1,3-4, 9-11,13,15-16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gill (US 2,302,116). See cylindrical shell 33, which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Claims 1,3-11 and 15- 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ayers (US 4,539,107). See tube 42, which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Claims 1,3-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graves (US 5,306,425). See tube 80 (Fig. 3), which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Claims 1,3-5,7-8,10,15-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harris (US 4,420,392). See outer cup 52 and/or inner cup 66, which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Claims 1,3,7,9-11,15-16, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinaver (US 4,456,529). See baffle member 132, which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/887,392

Art Unit: 1724

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to each of the rejections in view of Gill, Ayers, Graves and Shinaver above, Applicant has argued that since the reference "does not disclose the sleeve being non-permeable it does anticipate the claims." The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant. The Examiner does not interpret the language of the claims to specify that the sleeve is non-permeable, but rather, that the sleeve is made of a "substantially non-permeable material." The sleeve materials of the applied references are substantially non-permeable.

Regarding the Harris reference, Applicant has argued, "Harris fails to disclose a core member in fluid communication with the filter element 54. Since Harris does not disclose a core member in fluid communication with the filter element, it does not anticipate claims 1,3-5,7-8,10,15-16 and 18-20." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Referring to Figure 1 of Harris, there is disclosed structure 74, structure 68 and structure 66, any of which could be seen to constitute a "central core."

**Art Unit: 1724** 

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J. Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert James Popovics Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

June 13, 2004